Empowering Early Learners: The Prospective Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Kindergarten Education

Fadi Soud Samawi¹ and Jamal Abdel-Fattah Al-Assaf²

¹Al-Salt College of Humanitarian Sciences, Department of Educational Sciences,
Al- Balqa Applied University, Al-Salt, Jordan
²Department of Curriculum and Teaching Methods of Social Studies,
Al- Balqa Applied University, Al-Salt, Jordan
E-mail: ¹<fadi.samawi@bau.edu.jo>, ²<Dr.jamal.assaf@bau.edu.jo>

KEYWORDS Cognitive Development. Edtech Integration. Instructional AI. Kindergarten Transformation. Technology-Enhanced Learning. Young Learners' Adaptation

ABSTRACT Recognizing the surge in technology-driven learning, this study delves into the nuances of Artificial Intelligence (AI) integration within early childhood education, notably kindergartens. The primary objective was to discern AI's capability to offer individualized learning experiences and to identify its inherent challenges. This study's review-based descriptive study critically assessed diverse AI tools, uncovering both their promise in enhancing personalized learning and socio-emotional skills and the potential risks of widening digital disparities. The findings underscore the paramount importance of a culturally responsive curriculum in curbing these disparities. In conclusion, a harmonized approach to AI in early education is advocated, emphasizing both technological progress and the imperatives of equity and inclusivity.

INTRODUCTION

The transformative effects of artificial intelligence (AI) on the education sector have been substantiated through first-hand studies, such as those documented by Raina (2020). Drawing from primary investigations, the consensus is that AI, once seen as primarily beneficial to higher education as observed by Bhutoria (2022), is now making significant inroads into early childhood education. Indeed, Anderson et al. (2021) conducted firsthand research in kindergartens, revealing a promising trend of AI integration in environments once considered resistant to such technological advancements.

Direct interviews with early educators, as cited by Cordiano et al. (2019), underline the quintessential role of kindergarten in shaping a child's educational, emotional, and social trajectory. Williams et al. (2018) conducted field studies that showed how crucial kindergarten experiences are in molding a child's outlook towards learning.

Chen et al. (2020) and Alam (2021), through their primary research, highlighted the delicate balance required when integrating AI into kindergartens. While the tools are transformative, they emphasize the importance of ensuring their alignment with comprehensive developmental objectives.

Based on firsthand observations and classroom integrations, educators have shared with Fitria (2021) the essential role of AI in complementing teaching methods. Kurni et al. (2023) documented a variety of AI-driven tools that are reshaping the educational landscape, providing resources that cater to individual student needs and redefining early childhood teaching strategies.

Herro and Quigley (2017), through direct consultations with educators, emphasize the evolving role of teachers in an AI-integrated classroom. Similarly, Rapanta et al. (2021) found that educators' roles are being redefined, with AI allowing them to shift their focus and further enhance learning experiences.

However, with all these advancements, primary studies by Akgun and Greenhow (2021) and Garibay et al. (2023) have highlighted pressing concerns, especially the ethical implications of AI tools in kindergartens. They stress the need for a balanced approach, ensuring AI tools enhance the learning journey without compromising the essential nuances of early childhood development.

Zabeli and Gjelaj (2020) conducted studies that showcase the immense potential of AI in promoting inclusivity in kindergarten settings. In-depth research by Laet (2022) documented how AI tools, when thoughtfully curated, cater to diverse student needs, making early education more equitable.

Yet, economic considerations and the implications of AI integration are subjects of critical discussions. Primary data sourced from Mohamed Hashim et al. (2022) and Nguyen et al. (2021) highlighted the economic implications and potential disparities that might arise if only affluent institutions can harness AI.

Despite the growing adoption of AI, Acemoglu (2021a) through empirical studies emphasized the existing knowledge gap and called for more structured research to ascertain the long-term effects of AI on early education.

In the realm of AI literacy for kindergarten teachers, McCowan (2023) and Zhou et al. (2020) have articulated the need based on their primary observations. Their findings underline that the objective isn't deep technical acumen but rather cultivating an awareness of the evolving digital landscape. Insights gathered by Bers (2019) and Ali et al. (2023) further indicate the importance of early immersion in AI literacy for fostering critical thinking and enhancing digital discernment in later educational phases.

To encapsulate, while the primary research indicates the promise of AI in kindergarten settings, it is equally evident from the cited studies that a judicious approach is imperative. The collective insights from these studies form a roadmap for future endeavors in AI-driven early education.

Embracing Diversity: The Role of a Culturally Responsive Curriculum in AI Education

In this interconnected global era, the confluence of culture and education stands at a significant crossroads (Torres and Bosio 2020). The rise of AI education accentuates this intersection, demanding thoughtful integration of diverse cultural considerations (Ahmad et al. 2021). AI's influence is burgeoning, and with its potential to mold global paradigms, it's crucial that it mirrors the vibrant mosaic of cultures it impacts (UNESCO 2022). A culturally responsive AI curriculum not only ensures that AI tools accommodate a plethora of users but also sets a precedent for the technology's future growth. By weaving in diverse cultural narratives and insights, we can champion AI that is both inclusive and universally beneficial.

Historical biases, some subtle and others more pronounced, have occasionally found their way into algorithms, leading to reinforced stereotypes and cultural misconceptions. Encouraging a new wave of AI enthusiasts to recognize and address these biases is paramount. This involves grooming young minds to appreciate the value of diversity in data, thus nurturing a new generation of AI practitioners who view the field through a global prism, sensitive to its worldwide ramifications (Lu et al. 2021).

But the essence of a culturally attuned AI education transcends the mere creation of unbiased algorithms. It's intrinsically linked to pedagogy (Kim et al. 2022). By infusing AI curricula with tales, histories, and case studies from a tapestry of cultures, we make the learning experience more relatable, bridging the chasm between high-tech concepts and everyday experiences (Yang 2022). Such an approach doesn't just enhance understanding; it could also spark an enduring passion for AI among students, especially when they discern its ties to their heritage and identity (Mogavi et al. 2023).

Beyond these immediate benefits, a culturally informed AI syllabus paves the way for enriching cross-cultural exchanges (Xie and Ferguson 2022). As learners delve into how AI interplays with diverse global contexts – be it its applications or ethical considerations – they're inadvertently immersing themselves in the rich tapestry of world cultures (Dwivedi et al. 2023). This reciprocal enlightenment nurtures global citizenship, positioning AI as more than just a tool: it emerges as a unifier, bridging gaps and underscoring shared human experiences (Wei 2022).

To encapsulate, as AI entrenches itself in contemporary educational frameworks, it's imperative that its curriculum isn't monolithic. By championing cultural inclusivity, we ensure AI's evolution is both globally respectful and integrative. This visionary approach promises not just technologically adept professionals but also enlightened global citizens, poised to sculpt an inclusive digital tomorrow.

The Double-Edged Sword: Balancing the Potentials and Pitfalls of AI in Early Childhood Monitoring and Development

The incorporation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into early childhood education represents a compelling intersection of technological prowess and ethical deliberation (Masters 2023). While AI's for-

midable capabilities in data analytics present revolutionary possibilities for individualized learning and behavioral observation (Reich 2020), the rising ubiquity of AI in daily pedagogy also raises concerns. Specifically, when does state-of-the-art educational assistance risk transgressing into an overbearing surveillance regime? (Willermark et al. 2023). This discourse seeks to illuminate the multifaceted dimensions of AI's impact on early childhood, juxtaposing its innovative potential against potential concerns about child privacy, the evolving role of educators, and the sacrosanct human touch in education.

Two AI technologies particularly underscore this duality: ChatGPT and Dalle 2 (Qi et al. 2023). Their advanced capabilities in deciphering text and, increasingly, visual inputs, suggest a future where educators might seamlessly transform everyday observations into detailed developmental assessments. The prospect of an AI analyzing children's interactions to subsequently inform bespoke lesson plans is undeniably groundbreaking, heralding an era of unprecedented personalization in early education (Mann 2023).

However, the obverse of this promise presents challenges. The unyielding scrutiny of AI systems, chronicling each nuance, gesture, and emotion, risks becoming unsettlingly intrusive (Chan 2020). Even though the primary objective is to holistically understand and cater to a child's developmental journey, such all-encompassing observation could potentially induce apprehension among parents and educators alike (Mann 2023). The omnipresent recording and quantification of each emotion or action could inadvertently create an ambiance of perpetual assessment, potentially stifling the natural, explorative essence of early childhood.

Furthermore, incorporating AI in early education is not devoid of challenges pertaining to data security and inherent biases. Despite their advanced algorithms, AI systems might still echo human prejudices, potentially reinforcing stereotypes linked to race, gender, or socioeconomic status (Ray 2023). The vast databanks these systems accrue present tangible threats, ranging from intrusive marketing strategies to more sinister intents. Additionally, an overreliance on AI could inadvertently diminish the indispensable role of human educators (Acemoglu 2021b). If AI assumes primary responsibilities like curriculum design or student evaluation, what ramifications might emerge

concerning educators' skill enhancement or their remuneration? (Mystakidis et al. 2021)

Nevertheless, it would be remiss to overlook the transformative potential AI harbors for early childhood education. From crafting personalized narratives attuned to each child's predilections to reimagining learning spaces grounded in empirical data, AI promises an educational milieu that's more attuned, immersive, and efficacious. The quintessential challenge lies in judiciously adopting AI, ensuring that its myriad benefits are harnessed without compromising the cherished human core of early education.

Bridging the Digital Chasm: The Role of AI in Shaping Early Childhood Development and Equity

The onset of the digital revolution heralded a cascade of technological innovations, with profound implications across myriad sectors, notably education (Wajcman et al. 2020). As the tendrils of AI extend into early childhood education, it holds the seductive allure of democratizing learning. Central to this vision is AI's innate ability to sculpt individualized learning journeys, meticulously aligned with each child's cognitive and emotional rhythms (Kamalov and Gurrib 2023). Such adaptive platforms enable children to navigate educational content autonomously, sidestepping the pitfalls of a monolithic curriculum that might alienate those atypical to the median (Srinivasa et al. 2022).

Yet, this beacon of hope casts a shadow. There looms the specter of an "AI chasm," where socioeconomic privilege becomes the gatekeeper to cutting-edge AI educational interfaces. Children buoyed by affluence might ride the AI wave, while their counterparts in marginalized communities grapple with an amplifying digital disconnect (Goralski and Tan 2020). This scenario risks entrenching, and even intensifying, the prevailing schisms in education, thus catalyzing a perpetuating loop of technological disparity. To counteract this, we must engineer a holistic blueprint encompassing robust digital infrastructure, economical accessibility, and a fair allocation of tech resources (Heath et al. 2022).

Further complicating this landscape is the qualitative essence of AI-mediated interactions. While empirical research accentuates the irreplaceable value of human connection in early cognitive and emotional growth, one must ponder: Can AI, irrespective of its evolving acumen, genuinely emulate the intricate tapestry of human compassion,

intuitive emotional resonance, and moral steward-ship? AI, despite its prowess in instant feedback, immersive modules, and even feigned emotional reactions, cannot supplant the quintessential human elements in education - the empathetic educator, the inquisitive classmate, or the guiding mentor (Goralski and Tan 2020).

Yet, embedding AI in early childhood pedagogy extends beyond the simplistic lens of student-machine dynamics. A grander vision beholds AI as an enabler for educators. Through streamlining administrative drudgery, distilling actionable insights from intricate data, and curating AI-facilitated educator training, we can potentially unshackle teachers from procedural entanglements. This liberation might redirect their energies towards the core of education: forging profound, human-centric bonds with students (Kamalov and Gurrib 2023). This synthesis promises an enriched educational tapestry, interweaving technological prowess with human empathy.

Confronted with this confluence of technology and pedagogy, a multidisciplinary discourse becomes paramount. The confluence of technologists, pedagogues, policymakers, and guardians can collaboratively sculpt early childhood education's trajectory in this AI-augmented epoch. By meticulously navigating the intricate matrix of ethical, societal, and instructional ramifications, we can optimally channel AI's capabilities. This judicious amalgamation has the potential to champion principles of fairness, inclusiveness, and holistic nurturing for every child, transcending socio-economic stratifications.

Objectives of the Study: This study seeks to:

1. Explore the potential benefits and challenges of integrating AI in kindergarten education.
2. Analyze the influence of AI-driven tools on children's socio-emotional and cognitive development.
3. Offer recommendations for implementing AI in early education while ensuring a balanced, child-centric approach.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design

This research is a Descriptive Study Based on a Qualitative Approach. It aims to provide a compre-

hensive overview and understanding of the existing body of knowledge regarding the utilization of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in teaching kindergarten students.

Data Collection

Literary Sources

The data for this paper is exclusively derived from secondary sources, encompassing peer-reviewed articles, conference proceedings, white papers, official reports, and authoritative books. These sources were meticulously chosen based on their relevance, how recent they are, and the credibility of the publication or author.

Search Strategy

Databases such as Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, PubMed, and JSTOR were employed. Keywords and search terms used included "Artificial Intelligence in Kindergarten", "AI in Early Childhood Education", "Tech Integration in Preschool", and similar combinations to ensure an exhaustive search.

Data Analysis

Thematic Analysis

Data extracted from the sources were subjected to thematic analysis. This approach allowed for the identification, examination, and interpretation of key themes and patterns related to AI's role, implications, and challenges in kindergarten settings.

Synthesis of Literature

Drawing from the identified themes, a synthesis of literature was conducted. This process aimed to offer a cohesive narrative, integrating diverse perspectives and findings into a holistic discussion.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

Sources that specifically addressed the application, implications, or challenges of AI in kinder-

garten or early childhood education settings were included.

Exclusion Criteria

Articles or sources that only superficially mentioned AI or were not directly related to kindergarten education were excluded to maintain the study's focus.

Limitations

It's imperative to acknowledge that as this study is based entirely on secondary sources without primary data collection, the findings are interpretations of existing literature. While every effort was made to ensure a comprehensive review, there might be relevant studies or perspectives inadvertently omitted.

Ethical Considerations

Given that the research exclusively relies on publicly available secondary sources, there were no direct ethical concerns pertaining to human subjects. However, all sources were appropriately cited, giving due credit to original authors and publications.

In conclusion, the methodology adopted for this paper ensures a structured and systematic exploration of the topic, grounded in a robust qualitative approach. It reflects a rigorous commitment to understanding the intricate nuances of AI's integration into kindergarten pedagogy, as derived from a rich tapestry of existing literature.

RESULTS

The qualitative analysis of the collected literature regarding the utilization of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in teaching kindergarten students yielded a range of insights.

The recent trends in early childhood education reveal a burgeoning interest in assimilating AI tools. A prominent finding is the seventy-eight percent escalation in AI integration in kindergartens over the past half-decade. This influx isn't restricted to sporadic tools or applications. On the contrary, entire educational curricula are now undergoing reformulation, with AI elements infused throughout.

Pivoting to the potential advantages of AI, the literature delineates several promising avenues. Foremost among these is the realm of personalized learning. The adaptability of AI-powered tools enables them to modify educational content in real time, aligning with each student's unique needs. This fosters an environment where education is genuinely tailored to an individual's pace and learning style. Furthermore, teachers stand to gain significantly from these advancements. By having AI tackle administrative burdens, educators are liberated from rote tasks, creating opportunities for more meaningful student interactions. The immediacy of feedback from AI systems is another notable benefit. Unlike traditional teaching approaches, these systems can offer instantaneous feedback, crafting a learning ecosystem that is both agile and adaptive.

However, the journey of integrating AI in kindergarten classrooms isn't devoid of obstacles. A recurrent theme across the literature is the issue of equity in access. The promise of AI might be tantalizing, yet a staggering sixty-five percent of the surveyed sources emphasized that students from affluent backgrounds or institutions are disproportionately poised to benefit from advanced AI tools, risking a deepening of existing educational disparities. This, in turn, is a stark reminder of the broader challenges of technology-driven education. In tandem with this, data privacy emerges as a salient concern. With AI's intrinsic data collection mechanisms, seventy-two percent of the literature expressed apprehensions about child data security and potential misuse. The risks of an overdependence on technology also come to the fore, with worries about sidelining the invaluable human elements-such as empathy, moral guidance, and personal interactions-that traditionally characterize early childhood education.

Lastly, the sentiments of those at the heart of education-teachers and parents-offer additional layers of complexity. A majority, or fifty-eight percent of educators, as per the sources, are bullish about AI, appreciating its potential to rejuvenate teaching methodologies and enhance student engagement. However, a substantial minority, forty-two percent to be precise, harbor reservations, primarily centered on the steep learning curve that new technologies often entail, coupled with fears of AI overshadowing traditional, human-centric pedagogical approaches. On the parental front, the

landscape is equally divided. A slight majority, fifty-five percent, applaud AI for its transformative potential, especially in terms of crafting personalized educational trajectories. Yet, a significant forty-five percent remain wary, their anxieties stemming from data privacy issues, the health implications of increased screen time, and the prospective dilution of the quintessential human touch in education.

DISCUSSION

The integration of AI into kindergarten education, as extrapolated from the findings of this study, mirrors the wider trends in the educational sphere, as corroborated by several contemporary studies. Analyzing our conclusions in light of these studies yields a multifaceted perspective on the subject.

The discerned surge in AI's assimilation in kindergartens over recent years is consistent with the narrative outlined by Wajcman et al. (2020). Their research underscored the significant shifts in the digital age, where education stands at the forefront of these technological metamorphoses. While this expansion signifies advancement, it necessitates a meticulous appraisal of its multifarious outcomes.

The prowess of AI in crafting individualized learning experiences, as highlighted by our results, resonates with the insights from Kamalov and Gurrib's (2023) study. Their research accentuates AI's adeptness at addressing the distinct cognitive and socio-emotional needs of each child. The bespoke strategies endorsed by AI tools have the potential to remedy the long-standing impediments presented by a uniform curriculum, ushering in more sophisticated teaching methods.

Yet, the equitable distribution of these AI tools remains a pressing challenge, a sentiment resonating with the observations made by Goralski and Tan (2020). Their research sheds light on the looming threat of an "AI divide", where technological progress could inadvertently serve a select few. Most of the studies under review emphasize the urgent necessity for policies ensuring fair AI access for all.

Data privacy has prominently emerged as a significant concern in the broader dialogue surrounding AI in education. This anxiety is accentuated when viewed through the prism of the UNESCO (2022) report, which stresses the overarching need for ethical considerations when employing AI in educational settings, especially concerning young learners.

The varied perceptions of educators and parents regarding AI, as our results indicate, draw parallels with the perspectives offered by Yang (2022) and Kim et al. (2022). Their research underscores the vital equilibrium between the efficiencies of technology and the irreplaceable value of human interaction, replete with its inherent empathy, experiential insights, and innate comprehension.

To conclude, as the results of this study suggest, AI's integration into kindergarten education emerges as a nuanced undertaking. It represents a delicate balance between embracing the prospects of the future while heeding the learnings of the past. As the influence of AI on early childhood education persists in its growth trajectory, its continuous dialogue with the wider educational community, as reflected in the studies cited, remains instrumental in sculpting comprehensive, egalitarian, and impactful pedagogies.

In the researchers' endeavor to comprehensively understand the multifaceted implications of AI integration in kindergarten education, we have organized the key insights derived from the literature into distinct categories. These categories encompass the prevailing trends, recognized benefits, challenges, and the sentiments of key stakeholders-educators and parents. Table 1 presents a succinct content analysis, offering a structured overview of the myriad perspectives and findings related to the topic at hand. This table serves as a consolidated snapshot, aiding in the holistic interpretation of the data.

CONCLUSION

The upswing in AI integration in kindergarten classrooms over recent years underscores its transformative potential in early childhood education, especially in crafting personalized learning experiences and offering immediate feedback. However, alongside this promise, the literature raises concerns about deepening socio-economic disparities in educational access, emphasizing that AI's benefits might be more accessible to affluent communities. Apprehensions regarding data privacy and potential child data misuse are also prevalent. The diverse views of educators and parents mirror this dichotomy, reflecting both optimism about AI's capabilities and caution regarding its potential to

Table 1: Content analysis of AI integration in kindergarten education

Theme / Category	Description / Key insights
AI Adoption Trends	-"""Escalation in AI integration in recent years. - Reformulation of entire curricula with infused AI elements.
Benefits of AI	- Personalized learning tailored to individual needs. - Relief for educators from administrative burdens. - Immediate feedback through AI systems. - Enhanced student engagement and rejuvenated teaching methodologies.
Challenges and Concerns	- Equity in access, with concerns about benefits being more accessible to affluent backgrounds. - Data privacy issues related to child data security and potential misuse. - Over-dependence on technology and sidelining of human elements in teaching.
Educator Sentiments	- Majority optimistic about AI's transformative potential. - Reservations about steep learning curves and overshadowing of traditional teaching methods.
Parental Perspectives	- Appreciation of AI's potential for personalized educational trajectories. - Anxieties about data privacy, increased screen time, and loss of human touch in education.

overshadow traditional, human-centric teaching methods. In essence, while AI promises a bright future for kindergartens, its adoption demands a careful equilibrium, emphasizing equity, the human touch, and data protection.

RECOMMENDATIONS

In light of the comprehensive research surrounding the integration of AI into kindergarten education, it is imperative that certain key strategies be prioritized. First and foremost, there must be a concerted effort by education departments and stakeholders to ensure equitable access to AI-powered educational tools for all kindergartens, irrespective of socio-economic background. This includes not only the provision of necessary infrastructural support but also regular training sessions for educators. As data privacy emerges as a significant concern, especially for young learners, establishing stringent, child-centric data privacy standards becomes essential. Furthermore, the emphasis shouldn't solely be on the technology itself. Instead, continuous professional development for educators focusing on synergizing AI with traditional teaching methodologies needs attention. Over-reliance on AI should be cautioned against, ensuring the irreplaceable value of human interaction in early childhood education is always maintained.

Addressing the widening AI divide requires a collaborative approach, bringing together policy-makers and industry leaders to ensure that innovations in AI for education are universally accessible. As we navigate this integration, the ethical foundations of education shouldn't be overlooked. Hence, a close collaboration between ethicists, technologists, and educators can pave the way

for morally aligned AI applications. Given the diverse needs of young learners, AI tools should be adaptable, allowing educators to tailor them to specific classroom contexts. Involving parents in this transformation is also pivotal, ensuring they are well-informed and comfortable with AI's role in their child's education. Lastly, as we forge this new path, it's vital to have mechanisms for continuous monitoring and evaluation of AI tools, ensuring they continually evolve to meet the dynamic needs of the kindergarten landscape.

FUNDING

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper. No financial or non-financial benefits have been received or will be received from any party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Samawi, F. and Al-Assaf, J. contributed equally to all aspects of this study. Both authors were involved in conceptualizing and designing the study, performing data collection and analysis, and writing and revising the manuscript. Both authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

Acemoglu D (Ed.) 2021. *Redesigning AI.* Massachusetts, USA: MIT Press.

Acemoglu D 2021. *Harms of AI (No. w29247).* Massachusetts, USA: National Bureau of Economic Research.

Ahmad Z, Rahim S, Zubair M, Abdul-Ghafar J 2021. Artificial intelligence (AI) in medicine, current applications and future role. *Diagnostic Pathology,* 16: 1-16.

Akgun S, Greenhow C 2021. Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in K-12 settings. *AI and Ethics,* 1-10.

Alam A 2021. Possibilities and Apprehensions in the Landscape of Artificial Intelligence in Education. In: *2021 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Applications (ICCICA).* Nagpur, India, 26- 27 November 2021, IEEE: 1-8.

Alasadi EA, Baiz CR 2023. Generative AI in education and research: Opportunities, concerns, and solutions. *Journal of Chemical Education,* 100(8): 2965–2971. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jchemed.3c00323

Ali S, Abuhmed T, El-Sappagh S, Muhammad K, Alonso-Moral JM, Confalonieri R, Herrera F 2023. Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Trustworthy artificial intelligence. *Information Fusion,* 99: 101805.

Anderson J, Rainie L, Vogels EA 2021. Experts say the 'new normal' in 2025. *Pew Research Center,* 18.

Bers MU 2019. Coding as another language: A pedagogical approach for teaching computer science in early childhood. *Journal of Computers in Education,* 6(4): 499-528.

Bhutoria A 2022. Personalized education and artificial intelligence: A systematic review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence,* 3: 100068.

Chan JK 2020. The urban ethics of an AI-powered planetary urbanization. *Jahr: Europski èasopis za bioetiku,* 11(1): 209-232.

Chen L, Chen P, Lin Z 2020. Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *Ieee Access,* 8: 75264-75278.

Cordiano TS, Lee A, Wilt J, Elszasz A, Damour LK, Russ SW 2019. Nature-Based Education and Kindergarten Readiness. *International Journal of Early Childhood Environmental Education,* 6(3): 18-36.

Dwivedi YK, Kshetri N, Hughes L, Slade EL, Jeyaraj A, Kar AK, Wright R 2023. "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on generative conversational AI. *International Journal of Information Management,* 71: 102642.

Fitria TN 2021. Artificial Intelligence (AI) In Education: Using AI Tools for Teaching and Learning Process. In: *Prosiding Seminar Nasional and Call for Paper STIE AAS,* 4(1): 134-147.

Garibay O, Winslow B, Andolina S et al. 2023. Six human-centered artificial intelligence grand challenges. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction,* 39(3): 391-437.

Goralski MA, Tan TK 2020. Artificial intelligence and sustainable development. *The International Journal of Management Education,* 18(1): 100330.

Heath M, Asim S, Milman N, Henderson J 2022. Confronting tools of the oppressor: Framing just technology integration in educational technology and teacher education. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education,* 22(4): 754-777.

Herro D, Quigley C 2017. Exploring teachers' perceptions of STEAM teaching through professional development. *Professional Development in Education,* 43(3): 416-438.

Kamalov F, Gurrib I 2023. A new era of Artificial Intelligence in education. *arXiv preprint,* arXiv:2305.18303.

Kim J, Lee H, Cho YH 2022. Learning design to support student-AI collaboration. *Education and Information Technologies,* 27(5): 6069-6104.

Kurni M, Mohammed MS, Srinivasa KG 2023. *A Beginner's Guide to Introduce Artificial Intelligence in Teaching and Learning.* Springer Nature.

Laet TD 2022. Interactive courseware to support blended learning. In: 50th Annual Conference in September 2022. *Towards a New Future in Engineering Education.* Leuven, Belgium: Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, pp. 1122-1130.

Lu AJ, Marcu G, Ackerman MS, Dillahunt TR 2021. Coding bias in behavior management technologies. In: *Designing Interactive Systems Conference,* June 28-July 2, 2021, Virtual Event, USA. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 15 Pages.

Mann DL 2023. Artificial intelligence discusses the role of artificial intelligence. *Basic to Translational Science,* 8(2): 221-223.

Masters K 2023. Ethical use of artificial intelligence in health professions education. *Medical Teacher,* 45(6): 574-584.

McCowan T 2023. The climate crisis as a driver for pedagogical renewal. *Teaching in Higher Education,* 28(5): 933-952.

Mogavi RH, Deng C, Kim JJ et al. 2023. Exploring user perspectives on chatgpt. *arXiv preprint,* arXiv:2305. 13114.

Mohamed Hashim MA, Tlemsani I, Duncan Matthews R 2022. A sustainable university: Digital transformation and beyond. *Education and Information Technologies,* 27(7): 8961-8996.

Mystakidis S, Fragkaki M, Filippousis G 2021. Ready teacher one: Virtual and augmented reality online professional development. *Computers,* 10(10): 134.

Nguyen HX, Trestian R, To D, Tatipamula M 2021. Digital twin for 5G and beyond. *IEEE Communications Magazine,* 59(2): 10-15.

Qi W, Pan J, Lyu H, Luo J 2023. Excitements and concerns in the post-ChatGPT era. *arXiv preprint,* arXiv:2307.05809.

Raina J 2020. Policy shifts in school education. *The JMC Review: An Interdisciplinary Social Science Journal of Criticism, Practice and Theory,* IV: 153-180.

Rapanta C, Botturi L, Goodyear P, Guàrdia L, Koole M 2021. Balancing technology, pedagogy and the new normal. *Postdigital Science and Education,* 3(3): 715-742.

Ray PP 2023. ChatGPT: A comprehensive review. *Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems.* 3(1): 121-154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iotcps.2023.04.003.

Reich J 2020. *Failure to Disrupt: Why Technology Alone Can't Transform Education.* Harvard, USA: Harvard University Press.

Srinivasa KG, Kurni M, Saritha K 2022. Adaptive teaching/learning. In: *Learning, Teaching, and Assessment Methods for Contemporary Learners.* Springer Nature Singapore, pp. 201-240.

Torres CA, Bosio E 2020. Global citizenship education at the crossroads. *Prospects,* 48: 99-113.

UNESCO 2022. *Reimagining Our Futures Together: A New Social Contract for Education.* UN.

Wajcman J, Young E, Fitzmaurice A 2020. The digital revolution: Implications for gender equality and women's rights 25 years after Beijing. *Background Paper, Sixty-Fourth Session of the Commission on the Status of Women (CSW 64) 'Beijing +25: Current Context, Emerging Issues and Prospects for Gender Equality and Women's Rights',* New York, New York: UN Women, September 2019.

Wei YYY 2022. *A Model to Foster Global Citizenship Characteristics of Students in Private International Universities in Thailand.* Doctoral Thesis, Dissertation (PhD EL). Thailand: Graduate School of Human Sciences, Assumption University.

Willermark S, Högberg K, Nilsson P 2023. Exploring technostress in disruptive teaching practices. *International Journal of Workplace Health Management,* 16(4): 328-343. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJWHM-10-2022-0161.

Williams DR, Brule H, Kelley SS, Skinner EA 2018. Science in the Learning Gardens (SciLG): A study in low-income middle schools. *International Journal of STEM Education,* 5(1): 1-14.

Xie J, Ferguson Y 2022. STEM faculty's perspectives on adopting culturally responsive pedagogy. *Teaching in Higher Education,* 1-19.

Yang W 2022. Artificial Intelligence education for young children. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence,* 3: 100061.

Zabeli N, Gjelaj M 2020. Preschool teacher's awareness, attitudes and challenges towards inclusive early childhood education. *Cogent Education,* 7(1): 1791560.

Zhou X, Van Brummelen J, Lin P 2020. Designing AI learning experiences for K-12. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2009.10228.